

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 09/986,751 | 11/09/2001 | Gary W. Grube | 2026.0010000 | 5968 | |
| 26111 7 | 590 04/02/2003 | | | | |
| STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | ОЛNI, EZIAMARA ANTHONY | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 3723 | _ | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/02/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | r | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application N . | Applicant(s) | _ | | | |
| | 09/986,751 | GRUBE, GARY W. | | | | |
| ,-Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| - | Anthony Ojini | 3723 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app Peri d for Reply | ears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become | v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 N</u> | lovember 2001 . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I | | | i | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction and/or e Application Papers | lection requirement. | | | | | |
| · · · | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.(| C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | • | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | ity documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) | en received in this National Stage). | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | z pilotity undor 00 0.0. | C. 33 120 GHG/01 121. | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 Notice | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/986,751

, Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12,19-21, 34, 36-38 are, drawn to apparatus for cleaning a test probes, classified in class 15, subclass 38.
- Claims 13-18 are drawn to apparatus for cleaning a test probes
 comprising an abrasive material, classified in class 451, subclass 65.
- III. Claims 22-33, 35 are drawn to a method for cleaning test probes, classified in class 134, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination is silent with respect to a loosening means having an abrasive material. The subcombination has separate utility such as an abrasive means for loosening debris from probes.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

Application/Control Number: 09/986,751

"Art Unit: 3723

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different apparatus that does not require a roller-support arm.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different apparatus that does not require a loosening means having an abrasive material.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species I is illustrated in figures 1,2A,2B,3, 4A,4B,5, 6A,6B,8; species II is illustrated in figure 7; and species III is illustrated in figure 9

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,6,19-21,34,36,37; claim 13; and claims 22,31, 33 does not appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Application/Control Number: 09/986,751

್ವ Art Unit: 3723

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Attorney Michael V. Messinger on 3/24/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Ojini whose telephone number is 703 305

, Art Unit: 3723

3768. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 to 5.00 Tue-Fri with every other Mon. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 703 308 2687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 3590 for regular communications and 703 746 3277 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 1148.

ao March 24, 2003 Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700

Joseph J. Harle